



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington DC 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09.893,023	06/27/2001	Frank O'Mahony	884.405US1	3406	
	90 09.13.2002				
Schwegman, L	Schwegman, Lundberg, Woessner & Kluth, P.A.			EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 2938 Minneapolis, M	N 55402		SEFER, AHMED N		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

2826 DATE MAILED: 09/13/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

' ,		Application No.	Applicant(s)	(K			
		09/893,023	O'MAHONY ET AL.				
· Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		A. Sefer	2826				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	ation appears on the cover st	eet with the correspondence add	ress			
Period fo	• •	D DEDLY 10 OFT TO EVOID	SE (MONTHYO) EDOM				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r earne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, asions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after digital patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however ideation. days, a reply within the statutory minimulatory period will apply and will expire SIX II, by statute, cause the application to be	, may a reply be timely filed m of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	imunication.			
Status	Responsive to communication(s) filed	1 on					
1)∐ 2a) <u></u>	,	b) This action is non-fina	1				
3)□	Since this application is in condition f	,		merits is			
3)□	closed in accordance with the practic			THOMAS IS			
•	on of Claims						
,	Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the ap						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration	on.				
·							
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> are subject to restriction on Papers	and/or election requiremen	l.				
	•	Evaminer					
,	The specification is objected to by the l The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		to by the Examiner				
10)	Applicant may not request that any object						
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed			ſ.			
,	If approved, corrected drawings are requ						
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner.					
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or foreign priority under 35 L	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have been receive	ed.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Interna See the attached detailed Office action	tional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.	.2(a)).	Stage			
14) []	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	domestic priority under 35 t	J.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).			
) The translation of the foreign lang Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo						
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap	O-948) 5) 🔲 N	iterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s otice of Informal Patent Application (PTO ther:				
I C. Dotont and T	rademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/893,023

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Embodiment 1 shown in figs. 3 and 4, embodiment 2 shown in fig. 5, embodiment 3 shown in fig. 8.
- 2. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

Application/Control Number: 09/893,023

Art Unit: 2826

Page 3

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. Sefer whose telephone number is (703) 605-1227.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J Flynn can be reached on (703) 308-6601.

ANS

September 10, 2002

NATHAN J. FLYNIN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800